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In this paper we obtain an exact solution of the problem of diffraction of a transient plane elastic wave, with no resistance, propagated in three-dimensional space and striking against an edge in the form of a half-plane. The problem is solved by the method of functional-invariant solutions of V.I. Smirnov and S.L. Sobolev.

1. Consider the diffraction picture due to the motion of a plane elastic wave in space ( $x, y, z$ ), which is occupied by a homogeneous isotropic elastic medium and in which a cut in the form of the half-plane $y=0, x>0$ has been made; the erge of the cut is fixer, i.e. the elastic displacement is equal to zero on this half-plane. The analogous diffraction problem for acoustic waves in a fluid was solved by Sobolev in [1; p. 614].

It is known (see [1; pp.471-473]) that if there are no external forces, the displacement vector ( $u, v, w$ ) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, v, w)=\operatorname{grad} \varphi+\operatorname{rot} \psi \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the scalar potential $\phi$ and the vector potential $\psi_{s}=\left(y_{1}, t_{2}, y_{3}\right)$ satisfy the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2} \frac{\partial^{3} \varphi}{\partial t^{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial z^{2}}, \quad b^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{i}}{\partial t^{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{i}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{i}}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{i}}{\partial z^{2}} \quad(i=1,2,3) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $1 / a$ and $1 / b$ are the velocities of the longitudinal and transverse waves, respectively. On the fixed boundary (in our case on both sides of the half-plane $y=0, x>0$ ) the boundary conditions
hold.

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=0, \quad v=0, \quad w=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is required to investigate the diffraction picture resulting from
the motion of the plane longitudinal wave

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t, x, y, z)=f\left(t-c z-c_{1} x+c_{2} y\right), \quad \psi(t, x, y, z)=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(s)=0$ for $s \leqslant 0$. The diffraction problem of the plane transverse wave is reducible to three diffraction problems as follows:

1) $\varphi=\psi_{2}=\psi_{3}=0, \quad \phi_{1}=f_{1}\left(t-c z-c_{1} x+c_{2} y\right)$
2) $\varphi=\psi_{1}=\psi_{3}=0, \quad \psi_{2}=f_{2}\left(t-c z-c_{1} x+c_{2} y\right)$
3) $\psi=\psi_{1}=\psi_{2}=0, \quad \psi_{3}=f_{3}\left(t-c z-c_{1} x+c_{2} y\right)$

Each of these three problems is solved by the same method as the diffraction problem of the wave (1.4). We shall, therefore, confine the discussion to the solution of the diffraction problem for waves of the form (1.4). We shall assume that $c>0$ in (1.4), since the case $c<0$ reduces to that of $c>0$ if $z$ is replaced by $-z$ and the case $c=0$ is the plane problem* treated previously in [2].

At each instant of time $t$ the front of the incident wave is a plane intersecting the axis $O z$ at the point $z=t / c$. This point is the vertex of the cone $t-c z>\left[\left(a^{2}-c^{2}\right)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}$ occupied by the diffracted waves (analogous to [1; p. 615]).

In the exterior of the cone there are only plane waves: the incident wave (1.4) and the two waves (the longitudinal and the transverse) reflected from the fixed boundary. At arbitrary $t=t_{0}$ the picture of the fronts of the wave in the plane section $z=z_{0}$ is the one given in Fig. 1 for $t>c z_{0}$ (if $t<c z_{0}$, then the front of the wave in the section considered has not yet reached the cut).

It is sufficient to solve the problem for the case

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(s)=0 \text { for } s \leqslant 0, f(s)=s \text { for } s \geqslant 0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (1.4), since an arbitrary wave of the form (1.4) can be obtained by superposition of such waves.
2. We introduce the notation

* It can be verified that if we put $c=0$ in the solution obtained in this paper and then differentiate the solution with respect to $t$ (in order to pass from the initial conditions of the form (1.4) considered here, where $f(s)=s$ for $s>0$, to the final conditions of [2], where $f(s)=1$ for $s>0$ ), then the solution of [2] is obtained.

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{1}{ }^{2}=a^{2}-c^{2}, \quad b_{1}{ }^{2}=b^{2}-c^{2} \\
u_{0}=\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}, \quad v_{0}=\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}, \quad w_{0}=\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z}  \tag{2.1}\\
u_{1}=\frac{\partial \psi_{3}}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial \psi_{2}}{\partial z}, \quad v_{1}=\frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial \psi_{3}}{\partial x}, \quad w_{1}=\frac{\partial \psi_{2}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial y}
\end{gather*}
$$

By (1.1) we have $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}, w_{0}\right)+\left(u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}\right)=(u, v, w)$. In analogy with [1; p. 615] we shall seek a solution depending only on the three variables $x, y, t_{1}=t-c z$. From (1.2) it follows that each of the functions $u_{0}, v_{0}, w_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}{ }^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial t_{1}{ }^{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial y^{2}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that each of the functions $u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}{ }^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial t_{1}{ }^{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial y^{2}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.1) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial x}, \quad-c \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial t_{1}}=\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x}, \quad-c \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial t_{1}}=\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y}=c \frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial t_{1}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary conditions (1.3) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}+u_{1}=0, \quad v_{0}+v_{1}=0, \quad w_{0}+w_{1}=0 \quad \text { for } y=0, x \geqslant 0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that (1.2) and (1.4) imply that $c^{2}+c_{1}{ }^{2}+c_{2}{ }^{2}=a^{2}$ and putting $c_{1}=k$, we obtain $c_{2}{ }^{2}=a_{1}{ }^{2}-k^{2}$. It now follows from (1.1), (1.4) and (1.5) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{0}=v_{0}=w_{0}=u_{1}=w_{1}=v_{1}=0 \quad \text { for } t_{1}-k x+\sqrt{a_{1}^{2}-k^{2}} y<0  \tag{2.6}\\
& u_{1}=v_{1}=w_{1}=0, \quad u_{0}=-k, \quad v_{0}=\sqrt{a_{1}{ }^{2}-k^{2}}, \quad w_{0}=-c  \tag{2.7}\\
& \quad \text { for } t_{1}-k x+\sqrt{a_{1}^{2}-k^{2}} y>0
\end{align*}
$$

For $t_{1}>0$ we have the picture shown in Fig, l. Obviously, (2.6) holds in the regions $M K x$ and $M_{1} C_{1} A K x$ (before the wave front), and (2.7) holds in the region to the left of the curve $M K C A_{1} C_{1} M_{1}$ (that is, those places on the front of the incident wave not yet reached by the reflected and diffracted waves). The regions $A C K$ and $A E D K$ contain the waves reflected from the plane boundary $O x$ in accordance with the boundary conditions (2.5).

In the usual way (see [2; p. 689]) we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{0}=p, \quad v_{0}=q, \quad w_{0}=r \text { in the region } A C K \\
u_{1}=-p, \quad v_{1}=-q, \quad w_{1}=-r \text { in the region } A E D K
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
p=-\frac{2 k \sqrt{a_{1}{ }^{2}-k^{2}} \sqrt{b_{1}^{2}-k^{2}}}{F(k)}, \quad q=\frac{2\left(k^{2}+c^{2}\right) \sqrt{a_{1}{ }^{2}-k^{2}}}{F(k)}  \tag{2.8}\\
r=-\frac{2 c \sqrt{a_{1}^{2}-k^{2}} \sqrt{b_{1}^{2}-k^{2}}}{F(k)}, \quad F(k)=k^{2}+c^{2}+\sqrt{a_{1}{ }^{2}-k^{2}} \sqrt{b_{1}{ }^{2}-k^{2}}
\end{gather*}
$$

An arbitrary function $\Phi(y)$ can be written as half the sum of the even function $\Phi^{+}(y)=\Phi(y)+\Phi(-y)$ and the odd function $\Phi^{-(y)}$ h $\Phi(y)=$ $\Phi(-y)$. We shall denote by $u_{0}^{0}, v_{0}{ }^{*}, w_{0}{ }^{0}, u_{1}{ }^{0}, v_{1}{ }^{*}, w_{1}^{0}$ the even functions of $y$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{0}^{\circ}\left(t_{1}, x, y\right)=u_{0}\left(t_{1}, x, y\right)+u_{0}\left(t_{1}, x,-y\right) \\
& v_{0}^{*}\left(t_{1}, x, y\right)=v_{0}\left(t_{1}, x, y\right)+v_{0}\left(t_{1}, x,-y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

etc. and by $u_{0}{ }^{*}, v_{0}{ }^{0}, w_{0}^{*}, u_{1}{ }^{*}, v_{1}{ }^{0}, w_{1}^{*}$ the odd functions of $y$

$$
u_{0}^{*}\left(t_{1}, x, y\right)=u_{0}\left(t_{1}, x, y\right)-u_{0}\left(t_{1}, x,-y\right)
$$

etc. where $u_{0}, \ldots, w_{1}$ is a solution of the problem (2.1)-(2.7). It is obvious that $\left.u_{0}=1 / 2{ }_{0} u_{0}{ }^{0}+u_{0}{ }^{*}\right)$ etc. and that each of the systems of functions $u_{0}{ }^{0}, v_{0}{ }^{0}, w_{0}{ }^{0}, u_{1}{ }^{0}, v_{1}{ }^{0}, w_{1}{ }^{0}$ and $u_{0}{ }^{*}, v_{0}{ }^{*}, w_{0}{ }^{*}, u_{1}{ }^{*}, v_{1}{ }^{*}, w_{1}{ }^{*}$ satisfies (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and the boundary condition (2.5).

We shall first find the functions $u_{0}{ }^{0}, v_{0}{ }^{0}, w_{0}{ }^{0}, u_{1}{ }^{0}, v_{1}{ }^{0}, w_{1}{ }^{0}$. It follows from (2.6) and (2.7) that these functions are homogeneous of order zero in $t_{1}, x, y$ for $t_{1}<0$. Therefore, the solution will be homogeneous for $t_{1}>0$ as well. According to [1; p. 514] such a solution can be sought in the form

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
u_{0}^{c}=\operatorname{Re} U_{0}\left(\theta_{0}\right), & v_{0}^{\circ}=\operatorname{Re} V_{0}\left(\theta_{0}\right), & w_{0}^{\circ}=\operatorname{Re} W_{0}\left(\theta_{0}\right) \\
u_{\perp}=\operatorname{Re} U_{1}\left(\theta_{1}\right), & v_{1}^{\circ}=\operatorname{Re} V_{1}\left(\theta_{1}\right), & w_{1}^{\circ}=\operatorname{Re} W_{1}\left(\theta_{1}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{array}
$$

where $U_{0}, V_{0}, \ldots, W_{1}$ are analytic functions of the complex variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{0}=\sigma+i \tau_{0}, \quad \theta_{1}=\sigma+i \tau_{1} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$c=\frac{t_{1} x}{x^{2}+y^{2}} \quad \tau_{0}=\frac{y \sqrt{t_{1}{ }^{2}-a_{1}{ }^{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}}{x^{2}+y^{2}}, \quad \tau_{1}=\frac{y \sqrt{t_{1}{ }^{2}-b_{1}{ }^{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}}{x^{2}+y^{2}}$
From (2.4) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sqrt{a_{1}^{2}-\theta^{2}} U_{0}^{\prime}(\theta)=\theta V_{0}^{\prime}(\theta), \quad c U_{0}^{\prime}(\theta)=\theta W_{0}^{\prime}(\theta) \\
c V_{0}^{\prime}(\theta)=\sqrt{a_{1}^{2}-\theta^{2}} W_{0}^{\prime}(\theta)  \tag{2.11}\\
\theta U_{1}^{\prime}(\theta)+\sqrt{b_{1}^{2}-\theta^{2}} V_{1}^{\prime}(\theta)+c W_{1}^{\prime}(\theta)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

The radicals are to be considered continuous for $\operatorname{Im} \theta \geqslant 0$ and positive for $-a_{1}<\theta<a_{1}$.

Because the functions $u_{0}{ }^{0}, w_{0}{ }^{0}, u_{1}{ }^{0}, w_{1}{ }^{0}$ are even in $y$ and the functions
$v_{0}{ }^{0}, v_{1}^{0}$ are odd in $y$, it is sufficient to consider the functions $U_{0}(\theta)$, $\ldots, W_{1}^{1}(\theta)$ only in the upper half-plane. In the same way as in [2; Section 2] we obtain the following conditions which the functions $U_{0}(\theta)$, $\ldots, W_{1}(\theta)$ must satisfy on the real axis:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Im} U_{0}^{\prime}(\theta)=0, \quad \operatorname{Re} V_{0}(\theta)=0, \quad \operatorname{Im} W_{0}^{\prime}(\theta)=0 \text { for }-\infty<\theta<-a_{1}  \tag{2.12}\\
& \operatorname{Im} U_{1}^{\prime}(\theta)=0, \quad \operatorname{Re} V_{1}(\theta)=0, \quad \operatorname{Im} W_{1}^{\prime}(\theta)=0 \text { for }-\infty<\theta<-b_{1}  \tag{2.13}\\
& \operatorname{Re} U_{0}\left(^{\prime} \theta\right)=-2 k, \quad \operatorname{Re} V_{0}(\theta)=0, \quad \operatorname{Re} W_{0}(\theta)=-2 c \text { for }-a_{1}<\theta<k  \tag{2.14}\\
& \operatorname{Re} U_{1}(\theta)=0, \quad \operatorname{Re} V_{1}(\theta)=0, \quad \operatorname{Re} W_{1}(\theta)=0 \text { for }-b_{1}<\theta<k  \tag{2.15}\\
& \operatorname{Re} U_{0}(\theta)=p, \quad \operatorname{Re} V_{0}(\theta)=q, \quad \operatorname{Re} W_{0}(\theta)=r \\
& \operatorname{Re} U_{1}(\theta)=-p, \quad \operatorname{Re} V_{1}(\theta)=-q, \quad \operatorname{Re} W_{1}(\theta)=-r \text { for } k<\theta<a_{1}  \tag{2.16}\\
& \operatorname{Re}\left(U_{0}(\theta)+U_{1}(\theta)\right)=0, \quad \operatorname{Re}\left(V_{0}(\theta)+V_{1}(\theta)\right)=0 \\
& \operatorname{Re}\left(W_{0}(\theta)+W_{1}(\theta)\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

3. We shall find functions $U_{0}(\theta), \ldots, W_{1}(\theta)$, which are regular in the upper half-plane and satisfy (2.11) and also satisfy the boundary conditions (2.12)-(2.17) on the real axis. It follows from (2.12)-(2.17) that $\operatorname{Re}\left[V_{0}(\theta)+V_{1}(\theta)\right]=0$ on the real axis. Therefore, ${ }^{*}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}(\theta)+V_{1}(\theta) \equiv 0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By means of (2.11) and (3.1) we express the functions $U_{0}{ }^{\prime}, V_{0}{ }^{\prime}, U_{1}{ }^{\prime}$, $V_{1}^{\prime}$ in terms of $W_{0}^{\prime}$ and $W_{1}^{\prime}$. Using also (2.17), we obtain
$\operatorname{Re} F(\theta) W_{0}{ }^{\prime}(\theta)=0$ when $a_{1}<\theta<+\infty\left(F(\theta)=\theta^{2}+c^{2}+\sqrt{a_{1}{ }^{2}-0^{2}} \sqrt{\overline{b_{1}{ }^{2}-\theta^{2}}}\right)$
From (2.12), (2.14) and (2.16) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Im} W_{0}^{\prime}(\theta)=0 \quad \text { for }-\infty<\theta<-a_{1}  \tag{3.3}\\
\operatorname{Re} W_{0}^{\prime}(\theta)=0 \text { for }-a_{1}<\theta<k \text { and } k<\theta<a_{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

and for $\theta=k$ the function $W_{0}^{\prime}(\theta)$ has a pole with principal part

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}^{\prime}(\theta) \sim \frac{i(r+2 c)}{\pi(\theta-k)}=\frac{i}{\pi(\theta-k)} \frac{2 c\left(k^{2}+c^{2}\right)}{F(k)} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.2) and (3.3) we know arg $W_{0}^{\prime}(\theta)$ is wholly on the real axis. In order to find $W_{0}^{\prime}(\theta)$ we construct a function $F_{1}(\theta)$ such that

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln F_{1}(\theta)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a_{1}}^{t_{1}} \frac{\arg f(t)}{\theta-t} d t=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a_{1}}^{b_{2}} \operatorname{arctg} \frac{\sqrt{b_{1}^{2}-t^{2}} \sqrt{t^{2}-a_{1}{ }^{2}}}{t^{2}+c^{2}} \frac{d t}{t-\theta} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Then $F_{1}(\infty)=1$; on the real axis $F(\theta)=0$, except for the interval $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$, where $\arg F_{1}(\theta)=-\arg F(\theta)$. It now follows from (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{W_{n}^{\prime}(\theta) \sqrt{\theta+a_{1}}}{F_{1}(\theta)}=0
$$

is wholly on the real axis, except at the point $\theta=k$, where there is a pole. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{W_{0}^{\prime}(0) \sqrt{0+a_{1}}}{f_{1}(0)}=i A+\frac{i B}{0-k} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.4) we obtain

$$
B=\frac{2 c\left(k^{2}+c^{2}\right) \sqrt{k+a_{1}}}{\pi f(k) F_{1}(k)}
$$

The constant $A$ will be determined later. From (2.17) and (2.11) it follows that

$$
\operatorname{Re} c U_{1}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Re} 0 W_{1}^{\prime} \quad \text { for } 0>a_{1}
$$

From this and from (2.13), (2.15) and (2.16) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[\left(c U_{1}^{\prime}(\theta)-\theta W_{1}^{\prime}(\theta)\right) \sqrt{l_{1}+0}\right]=0 \text { for }-\infty<0<+\infty \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (2.15), (2.16), (2.11) and (2.8) imply that $\operatorname{Re}\left(c U_{1}-\theta W_{1}\right)$ is continuous at $\theta=k$; hence the function $c U_{1}^{\prime}-\theta W_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ does not have a pole there. Using (3.7), we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
c U_{1}^{\prime}(0)-0 W_{1}^{\prime}(\theta)=\frac{i D}{\sqrt{b_{1}+\theta}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.1), (3.1), (3.6), (3.8) we find all six of the required functions

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{0}^{\prime}(\theta)=\frac{0}{c} W_{0}^{\prime}(\theta)=\frac{i \theta F_{1}(\theta)}{c \sqrt{a_{1}+0}}\left(A+\frac{B}{0-k}\right), \quad U_{1}^{\prime}(0)=\frac{\theta}{c} W_{1}^{\prime}(\theta)+\frac{i D}{c \sqrt{b_{1}+0}} \\
V_{0}^{\prime}(\theta)=-V_{1}^{\prime}(\theta)=\frac{i \sqrt{a_{1}-0} F_{1}(\theta)}{c}\left(A-\frac{B}{\theta-k}\right)  \tag{3.9}\\
W_{1}^{\prime}(\theta)=\frac{i}{0^{2}+c^{2}}\left[F_{1}(\theta) \sqrt{a_{1}}-\theta \sqrt{b_{1}^{2}-\theta^{2}}\left(A+\frac{B}{0-k}\right)-\frac{\theta D}{\sqrt{b_{1}+\theta}}\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

We find the constants $A$ and $D$ from the condition of regularity of the functions $U_{1}^{\prime}$ and $W_{1}^{\prime}$ at the point $\theta=i c$. to do so, we must put the
expression in the square brackets in (3.9) equal to zero for $\theta=i c$, i.e.

$$
F_{1}(i c) \sqrt{a_{1}-i c} \sqrt{b_{1}+i c} \sqrt{b_{1}^{2}+c^{2}}\left(A+\frac{B}{i c-h}\right)-i c D=0
$$

Putting the real part of this expression equal to zero, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{B}{c^{2}+h^{2}}\left(k-c \frac{\operatorname{Im}\left(F_{1}(i c) \sqrt{a_{1}-i c} \sqrt{\left.\overline{b_{1}+i c}\right)}\right.}{\operatorname{Re}\left(F_{1}(i c) \sqrt{a_{1}-i c} V \overline{b_{1}+i c}\right)}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and putting the imaginary part equal to zero, we find $D$. We show that the denominator in (3.10) does not vanish. Indeed, $0<a_{1}<b_{1}$ and $c>0$ imply that

$$
-\frac{1}{4} \pi<\arg \left(\sqrt{a_{1}-i c} \sqrt{b_{1}+i c}\right)<0
$$

and (3.5) implies that

$$
\arg F_{1}(i c)=\operatorname{Im} \ln F_{1_{\Lambda}}^{\prime}(i c)<\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a_{1}}^{b_{1}} \frac{\pi}{2} \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{t-i c} d t<\frac{\pi}{4}
$$

Hence

$$
\left|\arg \left(F_{1}(i c) V \overline{a_{1}-i c} \sqrt{b_{1}+i c}\right)\right|<\frac{1}{4} \pi
$$

and the denominator in (3.10) is not zero. Therefore, the functions $U_{0}{ }^{\prime}$, $\ldots, W_{1}^{\prime}$ have been determined. Taking (2.14 and (2.15) into account, we find $U_{0}, \ldots, W_{1}$, and then from (2.9) we
 find $u_{0}, \ldots, w_{1}$. In the region $B E A$ (see Fig. 1), where $r_{1}$ is imaginary, the functions $u_{1}{ }^{0}, v_{1}^{0}, w_{1}^{0}$ are determined in the same way as the function $\%$ in [2; Section 2; paragraph 3].
4. To find the functions $u_{0}{ }^{*}, \ldots, w_{1}{ }^{*}$, we write

$$
u_{0}^{*}=\operatorname{Re} U_{0}^{*}\left(\theta_{0}\right), \ldots, w_{1}^{*}=\operatorname{Re} W_{1}^{*}\left(\theta_{1}\right)
$$

in analogy with (2.9), where $\theta_{0}$ and $\theta_{1}$ are the same as in (2.10). The functions $U_{0}{ }^{*}, \ldots, W_{1}^{*}$ will satisfy the same relations (2.11), but instead of (2.12)-(2)14) they will satisfy the following boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re} U_{0}^{*}(\theta)=0, & \operatorname{Im} V_{0}^{* \prime}(\theta)=0, \quad \operatorname{Re} W_{0}^{*}(\theta)=0 \text { for }-\infty<\theta<a_{1}  \tag{4.1}\\
\operatorname{Re} U_{1}^{*}(\theta)=0, & \operatorname{Im} V_{1}^{* \prime}(\theta)=0, \quad \operatorname{Re} W_{1}^{*}(\theta)=0 \text { for }-\infty<\theta<-b_{1}  \tag{4.2}\\
& \operatorname{Re} U_{0}^{*}(\theta)=0, \quad \operatorname{Re} V_{0}^{*}(\theta)=2 \sqrt{a_{1}{ }^{2}-k^{2}} \\
& \operatorname{Re} W_{0}^{*}(\theta)=0 \quad \text { for }-a_{1}<\theta<k \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Conditions (2.15)-(2.17) remain in force for the functions $U_{0}{ }^{*}, \ldots$, $W_{1}{ }^{*}$ as well. These conditions imply that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(U_{0}^{*}+U_{1}^{*}\right)=0, \quad \operatorname{Re}\left(W_{0}^{*}+W_{1}^{*}\right)=0
$$

for $-\infty<\theta<\infty$.
Therefore, instead of a single equation (3.1) we obtain two:

$$
U_{0}^{*}+U_{1}^{*}=0, \quad W_{0}^{*}+W_{1}^{*}=0
$$

The rest of the discussion proceeds as in Section 3 and we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{0}{ }^{* \prime}(\theta)=-W_{1}{ }^{* \prime}(\theta)=\frac{c}{\theta} U_{0}{ }^{* \prime}(\theta)=-\frac{c}{\theta} U_{1}{ }^{* \prime}(\theta)=\frac{i E \sqrt{b_{1}-\theta} F_{1}(\theta)}{\theta-k}  \tag{4.4}\\
& V_{0}{ }^{* \prime}(\theta)=\frac{i E \sqrt{b_{1}-\theta} \sqrt{a_{1}^{2}-\theta^{2}} F_{1}(\theta)}{c(\theta-k)}, \quad V_{1}{ }^{* \prime}(\theta)=\frac{i E\left(\theta^{2}+c^{2}\right) F_{1}(\theta)}{c(\theta-k) \sqrt{b_{1}+\theta}}
\end{align*}
$$

where the function $F_{1}(\theta)$ is the same as in (3.5) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=-\frac{2 c \sqrt{a_{1}{ }^{2}-k^{2}} \sqrt{\overline{b_{1}+k}}}{\pi F(k) F_{1}(k)}=-\frac{4 c \sqrt{a_{1}^{2}-k^{2}} \sqrt{b_{1}+k} F_{1}(-k)}{\pi\left(a_{1}^{2}+b_{1}^{2}+2 c^{2}\right)} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$
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[^0]:    * In view of the considerations at the beginning of Section 3 of [2], we shall seek the simplest particular solution of the problem (2.11)(2.17), i.e. a solution with the minimum number of singularities on the real axis and at infinity.

